A Comparison Between Foley and Nelatone Urinary Catheters in Causing Urinary Tract Infection in Animal Models
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1. Background

Urinary catheterization is one of the main measures used to treat and care for hospitalized patients. Several complications have been attributed to the presence of latex with routine Foley catheters. Therefore, some studies have recommended that Nelatone catheters be substituted for the ordinary Foley catheters to prevent these complications.

Objectives: This study aimed to compare the rates of urinary tract infection (UTI) in rabbits catheterized either with Foley or with Nelatone catheters.

Materials and Methods: A randomized controlled trial was conducted on 60 rabbits that were randomly assigned to three groups of twenty. The first group was catheterized using Nelatone catheter; the second group was catheterized using Foley catheter and the third group was studied without performing any catheterization. After seven days, urine samples were collected using suprapubic aspiration and were sent to the laboratory for culture. Descriptive statistics were calculated. Moreover, chi-square and Fisher’s exact tests were used for data analysis.

Results: At the end of the study, four 4 cases in the Nelatone group and 12 cases in the Foley group presented with UTI (P = 0.01). No positive urine cultures were found in the control group.

Conclusions: The Nelatone catheters, compared with the Foley ones, had a lower risk of UTI in the long term use. Verifying this claim by further studies can have an important role in reducing UTIs in patients using urinary catheters.
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centers (16) and some studies showed these catheters did not reduce symptomatic UTI (17).

Therefore many specialists use ordinary Foley catheters in these circumstances (14). However, due to the existence of latex with these catheters, changes in bladder tissue, infections, and in some cases hemorrhagic cystitis has been reported. Thus, in some studies it has recommend- ed that Nelatone catheters should be substituted for ordinary Foley catheters to prevent these complications (18).

2. Objectives

Due to the fact that there are no studies to compare the complications of these two types of UCs according to the laboratory tests and urine cultures, this study aimed to compare the rates of UTI in rabbits catheterized either with Foley or Nelatone catheters.

3. Materials and Methods

This experimental study was conducted at Kashan University of Medical Sciences from July to September 2013. In this study, 60 five-months-old New Zealand male rabbits weighing 2 to 2.5 kilograms were randomly assigned to three groups of twenty. One week before study, animals were fed similarly and were kept at 21 ± 1˚C with 12 hour light/dark cycle (lights on from 8:00 AM to 8:00 PM). Twenty rabbits were catheterized using Nelatone catheters (No: 8, made by VRP Co, Malaysia); the second group were catheterized using Foley catheters (No: 8, made by VRP Co, Malaysia). Rabbits in the third group were selected as control group and were not catheter- ized. For catheterization, the animal was placed in a horizontal dorsal decubitus position on the surgical table and its paws were fixed to the extremities of the table with thin ropes. The catheters were installed by a surgery resident using correct technique of aseptic catheter insertion and measures for instant, adhesive fabric for fixation and use of lubricant gel for insert- ing the catheter into urethra were carefully performed under sterile condition. The catheters were kept inside the bladder for seven days. Then urine samples (i.e.1 mL) were collected from all the three groups through supra- pubic method under aseptic conditions. The specimens were immediately sent to the laboratory and cultured on blood agar medium. Based on the result of urine cultures, a UTI was diagnosed when one or more organisms were present at quantitative counts of greater than or equal to 100 colony-forming units (CFU) per milliliter. Then the causative organisms were determined. All data were documented in a checklist consisting items on the name of group, the code of rabbit, the number of CFU in the urine culture and the type of the bacteria grew in the culture medium.

3.1. Ethical Considerations

Animal handling and all experiments were performed in accordance with the international guidelines set out in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, 1996) (19) and the Helsinki declaration has been respected. The study protocol was approved by the local research council and the research ethics committee at Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran.

3.2. Data Analysis

All information was extracted from data registration forms and the data were entered into SPSS 13.0 software. Chi-square and Fisher’s exact tests were used for data analysis. Statistical significance was considered at P value < 0.05.

4. Results

No positive urine cultures were found in the control group. The number of UTI were four cases in the Nelatone group and 12 cases in the Foley group (P = 0.01) (Table 1).

The frequency of entrococcal infection was less in the Nelatone group (3 cases) compared with the Foley group (nine cases) and this difference was statistically signifi- cant (P = 0.038). Moreover, three cases of staphylococcal UTI were observed in the Foley group compared to only one case in the Nelatone group (P = 0.605) (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>P Value b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nelatone</td>
<td>Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>16 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a All Values are Presented as No. (%).
b Chi Square Test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria Isolated from the Urine Culture</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>P Value b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foley</td>
<td>Nelatone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entrococcal Infection</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcal infection</td>
<td>0.605 c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>17 (85)</td>
<td>19 (95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a All Values are presented as No. (%).
b Chi Square Test.
c Fisher’s Exact Test Performed.
5. Discussion

In this study, UTI was observed in the both intervention groups but no infection was observed in the control group. There were significant differences between the two types of catheters in causing UTI and the Nelatone catheter was associated with a lower risk of UTI. Few studies have published in this field. These studies only assessed the risk of developing UTI after inserting a UC and have reported conflicting results. Uckay et al. have studied 8169 patients with UCs and reported that the long-term exposure to a UC is a definite risk factor for development of UTI. They suggest that further investigation is warranted to determine the other factors affecting UC associated UTIs (20). Consistent with results of the present study, Uckay et al. confirmed that a higher rate of UTI should be expected in patients who undergo urinary catheterization. However, they did not investigate the difference in the rates of UTI in patients with different types of UCs. On the other hand, Smarick et al. studied the incidence of catheter-associated UTI in dogs and reported that placement of an indwelling UC is associated with a low risk of UTI in dogs. They also reported that the results of bacterial culture of UC tips cannot predict the development of catheter-associated UTIs (21). Hosseinpour et al. (16) and Nicolle (13) have also observed no significant difference between silicone and latex catheters regarding the risk of UTI. This finding is similar to Schumm et al. (4) who reported that there is not enough evidence on superiority of different types of catheters on each other considering the risk of UTI in hospitalized patients with short-term catheterization. These studies along with our results show the necessity to determine the factors affecting the rate of TUs in catheterized patients.

The most effective way to prevent infection is to limit catheter use and discontinue the catheter when no longer needed. Catheter removal or exchange might also be useful in management of UTI associated with UCs (7). Nurses can help to prevent catheter-associated UTIs through using aseptic technique on insertion, follow best practice in ongoing care and prompt removing of catheters (22).

Some researchers indicate that antibiotic prophylaxis significantly reduced the rate of catheter-associated UTIs (23, 24) but guidelines do not recommend routine use of antibiotics (23, 25) or antimicrobial coated catheters (13, 25) to prevent catheter-associated UTIs.

In the present study, the microorganisms isolated from the rabbits with UTI, were enterococcus and staphylococcus. However, in a study on patients with symptomatic UTI, Escherichia coli (E. coli), Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Acinetobacter spp, Staphylococcus, Enterococcus and Gram-negative bacteria were the most common isolated organisms (26). In two other human studies, E. coli was the predominant organism isolated from catheterized patients (27, 28). Nonetheless, in an animal study, E. coli and Proteus spp. were more frequent in non-catheterized dogs, whereas Enterobacter spp. and Staphylococcus spp. were more frequent in catheterized dogs (29).

Evidence show that the patients’ skin and high-touch environmental surfaces are important sources for contamination of UCs in place, in hospitalized patients (30).

In this study, we conducted an investigation to identify the most appropriate catheter (Foley vs. Nelatone) for short-term urinary catheterization in animal model. We did not evaluate bladder histological changes in our animals and this would be one of the weaknesses of the present study. In conclusion, there was a significant difference between Nelatone and Foley catheters in producing UTIs. Using Nelatone catheters bear a far lower risk of infection. Considering the high prevalence of UTI after long-term use of a UCs in hospitalized patients and the subsequent prolonged hospitalization and health care financial burdens, it can be suggested that Nelatone catheters may be used instead of Foley ones. Further investigation is warranted to determine the effectiveness of the type of the UC and the appropriate type of catheter for each patient.
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